

|   |                                      |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/574,481 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>MARIS, GIANFRANCO |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>CARSON GROSS      | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1791                  |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) CARSON GROSS.

(2) BRIAN HANNON (32778).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 September 2009

**Time:** 2:15 PM EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*14 and 16*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/CARSON GROSS/

Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed the attorney that all claims except for claim 14 were in condition for allowance. Claim 14 was not in condition for allowance because it contained two separate ranges for the same variable. The examiner proposed removing the narrower range from claim 14 and creating claim 16 for the narrower range. The attorney agreed to the examiner's proposed amendment.